

## SIMS IS RAKED FORE AND CAPT BY DANIELS

Secretary Arraigns Admiral  
for Pro-British Activities  
in Reply to Charges.

## NAVY RECORD UNTOUCHED

Chief Scores Accuser for Violating  
Regulations and With  
Failure to 'Measure Up.'

WASHINGTON, May 10.—Secretary Daniels launched a vigorous counter-offensive against Rear Admiral Sims today, when he appeared before the Senate investigating committee to answer charges made by the committee against the Navy Department's conduct of the war.

Sweeping criticism of Admiral Sims occupied Mr. Daniels' recital most of the day. He deflected most of the time to the day. He deflected most of the time to the day. He deflected most of the time to the day.

As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, "there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war." He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

"As to the American Navy's part in the war, he said, 'there are no two opinions as to the fact that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.' He said that the American Navy was not a factor in the war.

## TO AUTHORIZE SALE OF MERCHANT FLEET

Senate Adopts Committee  
Amendment to House Bill.

## SLUSH FUND-BILL WINS SENATE FAVOR

Senate Resolution Taken From  
Elections Committee by  
Unanimous Consent.

## EARLY PASSAGE LIKELY

## Stories of Vast Campaign Funds in Both Parties Gain Supporters.

WASHINGTON, May 10.—Without a record vote and virtually without debate the Senate today adopted a resolution authorizing the sale of the merchant fleet.

Among amendments adopted were those providing for a private ownership policy, but authorizing the permanent Shipping Board which the bill creates to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

Another amendment authorized the board to set aside annually during the next five years \$10,000,000 derived from the sale or operation of vessels, to be used in the construction of new vessels.

As agreed upon so far the bill would create a permanent Shipping Board to sell the merchant fleet built by the Government during the war.

## SLUSH FUND-BILL WINS SENATE FAVOR

Senate Resolution Taken From  
Elections Committee by  
Unanimous Consent.

## EARLY PASSAGE LIKELY

Stories of Vast Campaign  
Funds in Both Parties  
Gain Supporters.

## Stories of Vast Campaign Funds in Both Parties Gain Supporters.

WASHINGTON, May 10.—Following a discussion of pre-convention campaign finances on the Senate floor today, Senator Borah (Idaho) asked that the Committee on Privileges and Elections be discharged from further consideration of its resolution for an investigation of Presidential nomination funds and that the resolution be sent to the committee to audit and control contingent expenses of the Senate.

Unanimous consent being given, the resolution was so referred.

Senator Borah later said he expected his resolution would be reported favorably in two or three days and that it would pass. The general understanding in the Senate is that this will be the outcome.

For a long time the resolution has been before the Privileges and Elections Committee, which has been unable to muster a quorum to act on it. Meanwhile the stories about vast nomination funds backing candidates in both parties have persisted, and pressure for their investigation has increased.

Today Senator Walsh (Mont.) opened up the subject anew by reading to the Senate a newspaper article alleging that T. Coleman du Pont is head of a finance committee which "is expected will raise \$1,000,000 to elect a Republican President."

"I hope," observed Senator Thomas (Colo.), "that the attention of Senator Borah will be called to this remarkable piece of information which Senator Walsh has laid before us. I had in mind this very situation when I introduced my pending amendment to impose a tax of 50 per cent on such campaign contributions in excess of \$1,000."

Senator Smoot (Utah) defended the Republican party. "It is inconceivable," he said, "that Senator Walsh or any other Senator should think there is truth in such newspaper reports as that read, 'Senator Smoot is head of a finance committee which is expected will raise \$1,000,000 to elect a Republican President.'"

Senator Thomas observed that he would be surprised if the Democrats are able to raise \$1,000,000. Referring again to the report of \$1,000,000 to be raised, he said, "I hope this is not true. If it is, I hope this is not true. If it is, I hope this is not true."

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

Senator Borah said he was not sure of the exact figures, but he was sure of the fact that the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to were not the same as the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to.

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

Senator Borah said he was not sure of the exact figures, but he was sure of the fact that the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to were not the same as the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to.

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

Senator Borah said he was not sure of the exact figures, but he was sure of the fact that the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to were not the same as the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to.

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

Senator Borah said he was not sure of the exact figures, but he was sure of the fact that the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to were not the same as the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to.

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

Senator Borah said he was not sure of the exact figures, but he was sure of the fact that the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to were not the same as the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to.

Senator Smoot suggested that Senator Borah also take cognizance of the fact that several million accounts "are very scarce now, despite the campaign of Democratic aspirants for the Presidency. And I wish to say," he continued, "that as between the millionaires mentioned in the articles referred to and those of New York having the campaign of Mr. McAdoo in hand, give me the New York millionaires for raising money, because I think Barney Baruch and Tom Chabourn can raise ten dollars where any of the millionaires can raise one."

## ASK REPORT AGAINST POST IMPEACHMENT

Official's Counsel Deny There  
Is Ground for Action.

## Official's Counsel Deny There Is Ground for Action.

WASHINGTON, May 10.—Counsel for Louis Post, Assistant Secretary of Labor, today filed a memorandum with Chairman Campbell of the House Rules Committee asking that the committee report unfavorably on the resolution looking for Mr. Post's impeachment because of his official conduct in alien deportation cases.

No charge was made by the pending resolution, Mr. Post's counsel said, adding that the evidence did not sustain any allegation of corrupt or other wrongful conduct constituting a high crime or misdemeanor on any offense.

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"It has not been shown that in any respect Mr. Post has laid down as guiding him any erroneous view of the law or has disobeyed or departed in any respect from the instruction of his superior."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

## ASK REPORT AGAINST POST IMPEACHMENT

Official's Counsel Deny There  
Is Ground for Action.

## Official's Counsel Deny There Is Ground for Action.

WASHINGTON, May 10.—Counsel for Louis Post, Assistant Secretary of Labor, today filed a memorandum with Chairman Campbell of the House Rules Committee asking that the committee report unfavorably on the resolution looking for Mr. Post's impeachment because of his official conduct in alien deportation cases.

No charge was made by the pending resolution, Mr. Post's counsel said, adding that the evidence did not sustain any allegation of corrupt or other wrongful conduct constituting a high crime or misdemeanor on any offense.

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"It has not been shown that in any respect Mr. Post has laid down as guiding him any erroneous view of the law or has disobeyed or departed in any respect from the instruction of his superior."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

"The only suggestion urged against Mr. Post in any case finally determined by him," the memorandum said, "is that in a single instance he is claimed to have erred in the exercise of his judgment when acting in a quasi-judicial capacity. Granting, for the sake of argument, which we deny, that such an error existed, it would afford no ground for impeachment, else no judge on the bench would be immune."

## STERLING SILVER

Pearls  
Precious Stones  
Jewelry, Watches  
Stationery, Silver Plate

## PEARLS PRECIOUS STONES

Jewelry, Watches  
Stationery, Silver Plate

## REED & BARTON

ESTABLISHED 1924  
THEODORE B. STARR, INC.  
ESTABLISHED 1902  
FIFTH AVENUE AT 47TH ST.  
4 MAIDEN LANE

## Divorce

as seen through the eyes of  
the child—a gay and lovable  
daughter of a solemn college  
professor and a young and  
light-hearted wife—is the  
theme of a new novel brim-  
ming with human interest by  
a novelist whose books have  
brought happiness to mil-  
lions of readers.

Ask your bookseller for  
Eleanor H. Porter's  
MARY MARIE

Houghton Mifflin Co.  
Boston and New York  
Illustrated by  
\$1.95 net

Cuticura Soap  
Clears the Skin  
and Keeps it Clear

For sale at all dealers  
THE BORDEN COMPANY

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THEATRE AND REPERTORY  
EMPIRE W. 44th St. LAST 7 TIMES  
4th St. Mat. 2:30. Eve. 8:30.  
Ethel Barrymore  
DECLASSÉ  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THEATRE AND REPERTORY  
EMPIRE W. 44th St. LAST 7 TIMES  
4th St. Mat. 2:30. Eve. 8:30.  
Ethel Barrymore  
DECLASSÉ  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THEATRE AND REPERTORY  
EMPIRE W. 44th St. LAST 7 TIMES  
4th St. Mat. 2:30. Eve. 8:30.  
Ethel Barrymore  
DECLASSÉ  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THEATRE AND REPERTORY  
EMPIRE W. 44th St. LAST 7 TIMES  
4th St. Mat. 2:30. Eve. 8:30.  
Ethel Barrymore  
DECLASSÉ  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THEATRE AND REPERTORY  
EMPIRE W. 44th St. LAST 7 TIMES  
4th St. Mat. 2:30. Eve. 8:30.  
Ethel Barrymore  
DECLASSÉ  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH  
GEO. COHAN W. 43rd St. Eve. 8:30.  
M. S. HARRIS presents  
"The Girl from Home"  
Charles Dillingham Musical Forces  
THE SEASON'S TRIUMPH

AMUSEMENTS.  
NEW YORK LEADING THE